

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/685,310	10/10/2000	Kurt Haas	1079-2	3443
75	90 03/23/2004		EXAM	INER
Jeffrey S Steen Esq			HAN, MARK K	
Dilworth & Barrese LLP 333 Earle Ovington Boulevard			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Uniondale, NY			3763	
		DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			T :				
:	. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/685,310	HAAS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	TI MANUNO DATE CHI	Mark K Han	3763				
۔ Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is pecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vertor to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) 🛛 🛚	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 N	ovember 2003.					
•		action is non-final.					
3)□ :	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the ments is				
(closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4) 🖂 (Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
5)🛛 (Claim(s) <u>9-29</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
. 8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicatio	on Papers						
9)∐ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 November 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)□ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
	All b) Some * c) None of:	, , ,	(()				
•	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
:	B. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	` ''					
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(A □ 1	(DTO 442)				
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 09/685,310

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18 November 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,784,737 to Ray et al. (hereinafter "Ray").

Ray discloses a device capable of electroporation having singular container 18, conductive fluid 16, a first electrode 22, and a second electrode 26. See Figures 1-4.

In reference to claims 2 and 3, the container is micropipette (col. 4, lines 36-41).

In reference to claim 4, the phrase "glass pulled" is considered a method, which is given no patentable weight in an apparatus claim. Regarding the diameter of the tip, Ray discloses that the tip is inserted into the cell indicating that the diameter of the tip of the micropipette must be less than the diameter of the cell.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,310 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

In reference to claim 5, the second electrode 26 can be used as a tissue support as disclosed in applicants' specification (p. 8, lines 9-15).

In reference to claims 6 and 7, Ray discloses a periodic pulse power supply 34 (col. 5, lines 61-63).

In reference to claim 8, Ray discloses that the electrodes are made of silver (col. 6, lines 34-35).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 18 November 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that the apparatus does not involve piercing the cell membrane and the variability of the voltage parameters, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Applicants have failed to show how the claim language structurally distinguishes the invention from the prior art. The Examiner's rejections with respect to claims 1-8 are hereby maintained.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9-29 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/685,310

Art Unit: 3763

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The subject matter of the independent claims could either not be found or was not suggested in the prior art of record. The subject matter not found was placing the distal opening in proximity to the cell without penetrating a cell membrane; and causing an electrical signal to pass through the conductive fluid where the electrical signal opens pores in the cell and the substance passes through the distal opening and enters the cells through the pores in combination with the other elements (or steps) in the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark K Han whose telephone number is 703-308-4543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 703-308-3552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/685,310

Art Unit: 3763

ruch.

Mark Han Patent Examiner Art Unit 3763

mkh March 22, 2004

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700